

Mr Selwyn Atkinson	Direct Dial: 020 7973
3287	

GL Hearn

PA01159685

4th Floor Our ref:

65 Gresham Street

London

EC2V 7NQ 19 July 2021

Dear Mr Atkinson

Pre-application Advice

HENDON HUB

Historic England has been asked for pre-application advice on two sites that form part of the broader Hendon Hub masterplan area.

As the two sites are separate and present different issues, they have been treated separately within this letter.

Meritage Centre site

Summary

The Meritage Centre site is a significant part of the Church End conservation area, which consists of the surviving elements of a small hamlet clustered around a Grade II*-listed church. The demolition of the Meritage Centre is uncontroversial, but a historic building that is a positive contributor to the conservation area would also be demolished, and the proposed replacement student accommodation buildings would be excessively sized and relatively coarse in terms of their urban grain, causing harm. The significant harm resulting to the conservation area should be given great weight in the balancing of harm against public benefit in appraising the scheme. While it will be



Stonewall



for the decision-maker to undertake this balancing exercise, it is disappointing that in this scheme, the potential of the only significant development site within the conservation area to enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area has not been fully realised.

Advice

Significance

The Meritage Centre site lies at the heart of the Church End conservation area, which covers the historic hamlet of Church End centred around the Hendon parish church of St Mary. Despite the ancient presence of the parish church, centre of a large parish, this was only ever a hamlet, with the church, an inn, two farms and a few houses clustered around it, and various roads and paths centred on this intersection stretching out into the surrounding fields. Much of the historic hamlet survives in some form, but this only consists of 10-12 historic buildings, depending on the definition used, and the conservation area is largely surrounded by suburban development of the last 150 years.

The various historic buildings of the conservation area, including the parish church (Grade II*), Church Farm House (Grade II*), the model farm milking parlour (Grade II), and the Daniel almshouses (Grade II), also derive part of their significance from their setting, including the inter-relationship between each other and their positions as part of the historic hamlet, which is still discernable despite harmful mid-late twentieth century development.

The historic pattern of roads and paths in the conservation area is largely intact, and a number of historic footpaths have been preserved in the wider landscape, despite the areas they run through having long been built-up. This is important to the significance of the conservation area in terms of retaining a sense in which it is the heart of the area, which routes radiate towards. Church Terrace is a particularly good example of this, as it runs north from the conservation area through open land (Sunny Hill Park) as far as the A1. Of the views of the church and the conservation area, that looking north up Church End towards the church is perhaps the most relevant in the context of this proposal. However, the mapping evidence demonstrates that while the Daniel almshouses were designed to have a formal axial presence at the southern end of Church End, the view north round the curve of the street to the church was an informal, unplanned one, with the church historically being glimpsed over lower buildings that shepherd one round the curve, and then the body of the church emerging as a surprise in a gap between smaller domestic buildings.

The post-war development around the conservation area detracts from its significance to some degree, by eroding the effect of a rural hamlet while introducing nothing of







particular interest. Particularly harmful are the relatively recent buildings of Middlesex University immediately to the south-west of the conservation area; their alien scale, planning, coarse grain, height and cladding materials mean that they cause a significant degree of harm to the conservation area by damaging its setting.

The existing Meritage Centre buildings are of no interest in themselves, and do not make any contribution to the significance of the conservation area. They are not insensitive, by the standards of the time at which they were built; their height is appropriate. This is why Historic England has previously advised that new development on this site should be of similar height. The use of brick is also contextual, and the massing of the building fronting Church End has clearly been contrived with the setting of the church in mind. However, the buildings have a poor relationship with the streets of Church End and Church Terrace, instead feeling as if they are objects dropped into landscaping in a typically modernist way.

28 Church End, dating perhaps from the 1850s, is the survivor of what was a small terrace of three houses (the northernmost two were demolished to build the current 30 Church End). It is the last survivor of the initial 19th century expansion of Hendon village, and is therefore a significant part of the history of the settlement, holding evidential value. Despite its somewhat rundown condition, it also holds aesthetic value as a classic small terraced London house of the period, with its stock brick, sash windows, moulded window frames and traditional proportions. It is noted on the conservation area appraisal as a 'Positive Building' within the conservation area, and this is an assessment that we endorse.

30 Church End is a semi-detached house that was built between 1936-56 based on mapping evidence, and probably in the late 1930s on stylistic evidence. It relates successfully to the conservation area in that it is of a suitable scale and relatively fine grain, it relates well to the street, and its muted materials, colours and textures are appropriate for the conservation area. It reads as part of the cluster of small houses around the church which positively contribute to its domestic scale setting.

Impact

The proposals involve the complete demolition of the Meritage Centre and adjoining contemporary buildings, the demolition of 28 and 30 Church End, and complete redevelopment of the site (which includes two areas just outside the conservation area, one of which is separate and slightly to the south of the principal site). Demolition of the Meritage Centre would not have an adverse impact on the conservation area or the setting of the nearby listed buildings.

Demolition of 28 Church End, a positive contributor to the conservation area, would cause harm to the conservation area. It would remove the last evidence of the



4TH FLOOR, CANNON BRIDGE HOUSE, 25 DOWGATE HILL, LONDON EC4R 2YA

Telephone 020, 7973, 3700

Stonewall



nineteenth century expansion of the settlement, and would remove one of only 10-12 historic buildings within the conservation area. This would result in a significant level of harm, exacerbated by the demolition of 30 Church End, which also makes a positive contribution, albeit a lesser one, to the conservation area. The small size and fragility of this conservation area means that the loss of these buildings has a considerably greater relative impact on the conservation area than it would in many other conservation areas.

The proposed redevelopment of the site would be for two blocks of student accommodation of 2-3 storeys within the conservation area, and a further two blocks just outside it. Both of the blocks within the conservation area have their primary fronts (the long fronts with greatest height, and continuous gables) facing Church Terrace. The elevations facing Church End feel in massing terms like the backs, set back from the street, despite the presence of a space designated for a doctor's surgery. This does not fit well with the character of the conservation area, in which the top of Church End is the primary street and focus of the village and Church Terrace has historically been little more than a footpath. The height reduction shown in the amended plans, from 3-4 storeys down to 2-3 storeys, reduces the level of harm. Having carefully considered the impacts and our previous advice, Historic England considers that this is a broadly appropriate height, given that the two storey sections are towards Church End, on the more sensitive western side of the site.

However, the area of each of the two proposed blocks in the conservation area is comparable to that of the church. This is considered an excessive size and coarseness of grain in a conservation area the character of which is fundamentally defined by being a historic hamlet that consists of small domestic buildings that are subservient to the church in terms of their size. While the grain of the Meritage Centre is too coarse, the proposed development would make this worse.

The proposed layout does preserve a pedestrian connection west from the junction of Church Walk and Church Terrace to connect with Church End. This is not a very obvious or prominent connection, and more could be done in landscaping terms to signpost the presence and significance of these routes.

Policy

The 1990 Listed Buildings Act, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the London Plan and local plan and other local and national policy and guidance provide the framework for decision-taking on heritage applications.

At paragraph 192, the NPPF emphasises "the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation".



4TH FLOOR, CANNON BRIDGE HOUSE, 25 DOWGATE HILL, LONDON EC4R 2YA

Telephone 020 7973 3700 HistoricEngland.org.uk





The NPPF continues at para 193: "When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance."

The adopted SPD Design Brief for the Meritage Centre site emphasises that development should not be 'overbearing or imposing on' St Mary's church, and states that 'a terraced form of development could fit the site, provide small individual footprints and therefore enforce pleasant continuity'.

Position

These impacts would result in a significant degree of less than substantial harm to the conservation area and to the setting of the church, because of the demolition of two of the few historic buildings within the conservation area, one of which is formally acknowledged as a positive contributor, and because of the coarsening of the urban grain that the erection of two blocks of this size represents. It will be for the decision-maker to balance this harm against the public benefits of the scheme.

Mitigation for the harm that the scheme would cause could include streetscape improvements to the conservation area as a whole, which has a variety of ill thought-out and inappropriate boundary treatments, street furniture and paving which harms the conservation area. There is considerable potential for the atmosphere of the conservation area to be improved with a well-thought out scheme for improving these in the whole of this small conservation area.

Bearing in mind that the Church End conservation area is very small, and fragile, and that this is probably the only potential development site on any scale that will ever come forward in it, it is disappointing that the potential that the Meritage Centre site has for improving the significance of the conservation area has not been realised by this scheme. A finer-grained residential scheme would be more likely to achieve the statutory objectives of preserving and enhancing the character and appearance of the conservation area.

Building 9 site Summary

The Hendon civic complex is an important group of early twentieth century civic buildings at the heart of the modern story of the suburb. The proposed new Building 9 would have an impact on the setting of the surrounding and attached listed buildings, as well a physical impact where it linked to the town hall and library. This harm would have to be balanced against the public benefits of the scheme. These should include a







full restoration of the library building including reinstatement of wooden sash windows where these have been replaced in uPVC.

Advice

Significance

The site of Building 9 of the Middlesex University campus lies at the heart of the early C20 civic complex of Hendon, with neighbouring buildings including the town hall and annexe, the library and the fire station (all statutorily listed). It is clearly separated from the older village centre to the north around St Mary's church.

Building 9 dates from the 1930s and was built as a health centre. It has an attractive frontage facing south in Art Deco style, which and a conventional low-pitched slate hipped roof. We understand that it has recently been decided not to list the building. It does make a contribution to the setting of the surrounding listed civic buildings in that it is part of the story of the development of public facilities in the borough.

Hendon Town Hall dates from 1900, and is listed at Grade II. Its significance lies primarily in the original building facing The Burroughs. It was later extended to the west in matching style in 1929, and in 1960 the annex was built, again in matching style, which forms part of the Building 9 site. It is linked to the rest of the town hall by a first floor enclosed bridge. The 1929 rear extensions, while handsomely and solidly constructed in brick and stone, do not seem externally to be of huge interest in their own right. They do, however, contribute to the significance of the town hall complex by demonstrating a continuity of architectural vision within the council that lasted for sixty years. They are also built with a high quality of materials and craftsmanship. The annex itself is three storeys rather than the two of the rest of the complex. It does not speak of the 1960s at all, but it is a building of quality, of more distinction than the earlier additions to the town hall, and it adds to the interest of the civic complex as a whole. It would be helpful to know who designed it.

Hendon Library, dating from 1929, is listed at Grade II, and is well-described in the list description as 'a distinguished example of a Neo-Georgian public library'. It is uncertain from the evidence provided precisely how much of the historic interior survives. It would be helpful to have more detailed information about the interiors and the changes that have been made since the erection of the library, so that decisions about the future evolution of the building can be made on the basis of full knowledge. The RIBA photo library has a photo of an impressive internal dome to which no reference is made in the documentation provided, for example, raising the question of whether this survives concealed. It is clear however that the focus of interest is the main frontage and some elements of the interior. The railings with which the building was provided were doubtless removed in the second world war, and at some stage afterwards the dwarf brick walls were also removed and replaced, in typical fashion,







with more rustic dwarf walls built from what looks like rock-faced artificial stone, which detract from the politeness of the principal façade. At some stage a number of the sash windows have also been replaced in uPVC, including to part of the principal façade, and again this detracts from the appearance of the building.

In 1973 the space between the rear wings of the library was infilled, in a low-quality fashion that detracts from the interest of the building. It is unclear precisely how much physical damage this caused to the surrounding rear elevations. It would be helpful to have drawings showing this.

Impact

The proposals involve the complete demolition of Building 9 and its replacement with a four storey building linking through to the annex at one end and the rear of the library at the other end.

The demolition of Building 9 would, on the basis of the evidence we have about the building, cause a minor degree of harm to the significance of the surrounding listed buildings, by removing evidence of one phase of the development of the complex.

The impact of the proposed replacement building is clearly more complicated. The four storey scale would be a little lower than the annex building and a storey higher than the town hall extension. Symbolically and visually it would cause a degree of damage to the setting of the town hall for a neighbouring building to be higher than the town hall, which should in terms of the hierarchy of classical architecture be the dominant building. However, the height difference would be moderate, and it would be the less significant rear portion of the town hall that would be affected. The building would block the oblique view of the town hall annex that can be seen from The Burroughs through the gap between the town hall and library, which is attractive and gives a sense of depth to the civic complex, and this would cause a small degree of harm.

The architectural style of the proposed building, with repeated brick bay elevations, portrait windows, and a mansard roof, is contextual and appropriate.

The physical connection between the new building and the annex would be a glazed link, with just one connection punched through a window at first floor level. The top of this link would be below the eaves of the annex building, and it would be an appropriately sensitive connection. The eastern elevation of the annex would clearly be obscured from outside, but it would be visible internally. There would be a small degree of harm from these impacts.

The connection between the new building and the library is more complex. The 1970s infill in the rear of the library would be removed and replaced, and a new infill inserted,



Stonewall



which is acceptable in principle. Above the new infill would be placed the plant for the new building, behind a screen. These changes would, according to the drawings, involve removing three inward-facing rooflights and one inward-facing dormer on the roof of the library. No information has been provided about the spaces these rooflights and dormer light, and the resulting impact on them, and no justification has been provided for this change, which is likely to be harmful. This should be clarified.

These changes would also involve truncating the original roof of the rear of the library. This would cause harm, by removing original fabric and obscuring the original form and extent of the building, and has not been justified. It has been decided to roof the glazed link between the library and the new building in a pitched slate roof, continuing the form and materials of the roof of the library. The result of this would be to obscure where the listed building ends and the new one begins, causing harm. The undesirable impression that may result is that the library is an extension growing out of a larger building behind, rather than being a discrete structure.

It is stated that the historic library building will be restored as part of the works, which could potentially have a positive impact on the significance of the building, depending on the quality and scope of works.

Policy

The policy framework for the scheme on this site has been referenced above. Position

The demolition of the health centre would cause a small degree of harm to the setting of the surrounding listed buildings, as would the obscuring of the east face of the annex because of the new link to the replacement building, and the slightly excessive scale of the proposed new building. While the proposed new building is broadly acceptable, its connection to the library should be refined to clarify the distinction between new and old. The historic roof should remain unaltered, and if it is proposed to remove the inward-facing rooflights, their function and internal significance should be explained, and this should be justified. The harm caused by the scheme should be weighed against public benefits, which should include restoration of the library building, including reinstatement of those wooden sash windows that have been replaced in uPVC. There is also potential to improve the landscaping fronting The Burroughs, where the original brick walls and railings have been replaced with a less appropriate post-war landscaping scheme.

Next Steps

Thank you for involving us at the pre-application stage. We recommend that you address the issues as outlined above before any statutory approval is sought.

Yours sincerely



4TH FLOOR, CANNON BRIDGE HOUSE, 25 DOWGATE HILL, LONDON EC4R 2YA

Telephone 020 7973 3700 HistoricEngland.org.uk





Chris Costelloe Inspector of Historic Buildings and Areas E-mail: christopher.costelloe@historicengland.org.uk

HENDON HUB Pre-application Advice

List of information on which the above advice is based Information Provided - pre-app documents as provided



